NEW MEXICO BOARD OF LICENSURE FOR PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERS AND PROFESSIONAL SURVEYORS

#### PROFESSIONAL SURVEYORS COMMITTEE

PERRY M. VALDEZ, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

#### MEMBERS:

CLIFF A. SPIROCK, NMPS #4972, CHAIR GLEN W. THUROW, NMPS #11394 DAVID E. COOPER, NMPS #9052 AUGUSTA MEYERS, PUBLIC MEMBER

Photos and discussion excerpts: <u>GNSS and the Surveyor: Take Me to School</u> March 1, 2017 - By <u>Tim Burch</u> GPS World (online), <u>http://gpsworld.com/gnss-and-the-surveyor-take-me-to-school/</u> Arizona Professional Land Surveyors Conference Tucson, Arizona • May 19, 2017 Michael L. Dennis, RLS, PE Geodetic Analysis, LLC Chris Pappas, RLS, NMDOT New Mexico Professional Surveyors





## Perhaps more appropriate quotations:

Mr. Tom Patrick, NMLS # 12651 when this topic was reviewed in-house at Community Sciences Corporation:

"why isn't this change a more practical transition for a surveyor instead of such a wide-ranging and scientific approach?"

... and Mr. Cliff A. Spirock, NMLS # 4972 when preparing this presentation:

" oh (expletive) -- this is not even close to the changes we experienced going from NAD27 to NAD83!" (1986)



Heraclitus, a Greek philosopher

#### NEW MEXICO BOARD OF LICENSURE FOR PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERS AND PROFESSIONAL SURVEYORS

#### PROFESSIONAL SURVEYORS COMMITTEE

TAILORED FOR THE QUESTION(S)

WHAT DOES THIS MEAN FOR ENGINEERS?

WHAT CAN THE BOLPEPS EXPECT FOR NEW LEGISLATION AND RULES?

#### TOPICS TO BE PRESENTED:

#### A PRIMER ON LOW-DISTORTION GROUND PLANE PROJECTIONS

1. As non-technical as possible to keep the Board informed

#### A NEW DATUM FOR NOAA AND NGS (IN ADDITION TO NAD27, NAD83, WGS84, ETC.)

- 2. North America Terrestrial Reference Frame of 2022 (NATRF2022) (NGS)
- Simultaneous release of new vertical datum: North America-Pacific Geopotential Datum of 2022 (NAPGD2022)

#### IMPACTS ON NEW MEXICO LAWS AND CONVENTIONS (AS THEY ARE REFERENCED IN VARIOUS STATUTES)

4. A new 2022 State Plane system? A new "foot"? A new longitude reference?

#### A PRIMER ON LOW-DISTORTION GROUND PLANE PROJECTIONS

1. As non-technical as possible to keep the Board informed

# NEXT SECTION:

# WHAT DOES THIS MEAN FOR ENGINEERS?

SUFFER THROUGH THE FOLLOWING BRIEF HISTORY, PRIMER AND PRESENTATION OF PROPOSED CHANGES.

PAY PARTICULAR ATTENTION TO POSSIBLE CHANGES IN FOOT UNIT AND NEW VERTICAL DATUM WHICH WILL IMPACT ALL BENCHMARKS AND THE NEW MEXICO STATE PLANE SYSTEM.

DON'T DWELL ON THE DETAILS OF THIS SLIDE SHOW AND NARRATIVE. HARDCOPY PRINTOUTS AND LINKS TO ON-LINE RESOURCES ARE INCLUDED FOR LATER REFERENCING.

TAILORED FOR THE QUESTION(S)

WHAT DOES THIS MEAN FOR ENGINEERS?

WHAT CAN THE BOLPEPS EXPECT FOR NEW LEGISLATION AND RULES?

# A PRIMER ON LOW-DISTORTION GROUND PLANE PROJECTIONS



https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=VeBRflu5jZ8 "Geodetic Surfaces and Datums" by Dave Doyle, NGS, Chief Geodetic Surveyor (Retired)

# WHAT'S THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN A PROJECTION AND A DATUM?

A DATUM IS A SPECIFIC, KNOWN POINT ON OR IN THE EARTH THAT IS USED FOR REFERENCE. A PROJECTION USES THE DATUM AS A POINT OF REFERENCE (AND THEN DERIVES A REFERENCE SURFACE).

Geodetic datums like the North American Datum 1983 (NAD83) form the basis of coordinates of all horizontal positions for Canada and the United States.

The North American Datum of 1983 (NAD 83) is a unified horizontal or geometric datum and successor to NAD27 providing a spatial reference for Canada and the United States.

NAD83 corrects some of the distortions from NAD27 over distance by using a more dense set of positions from terrestrial and Doppler satellite data. NAD83 (and WGS84) is a geocentric datum (referenced to the center of Earth's mass) offset by about 2 meters. Even today, geodesists are continually improving horizontal geodetic datums.

TAILORED FOR THE QUESTION(S)

WHAT DOES THIS MEAN FOR ENGINEERS?

# WHAT CAN THE BOLPEPS EXPECT FOR NEW LEGISLATION AND RULES?

#### A PRIMER ON LOW-DISTORTION GROUND PLANE PROJECTIONS – HISTORY, ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS



NAD27 Shift to NAD83 (Image credit: NADCON - North American Datum Conversion Utility) Last Updated: March 4, 2019 in Feet

WHAT'S THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN A PROJECTION AND A DATUM? (CONTINUED):

#### Geodetic Datums: NAD 27, NAD 83 and WGS84

There are a number of difference between the NAD83 and the WGS84 datum. One is the reference ellipsoid. The North American 1983 datum (NAD83) uses the Geodetic Reference System (GRS80) ellipsoid while the World Geodetic System of 1984 (WGS84) uses the WGS 84 ellipsoid. The dimensions of these ellipsoids differ slightly.

#### Horizontal Reference Datum:

When you need to accurately enter <u>latitude and longitude</u> <u>coordinates</u> in a GIS, the first step is to give it a datum. A geodetic datum uniquely defines all locations on Earth with coordinates.

Because the Earth is curved and in GIS we deal with <u>flat map</u> <u>projections</u>, we need to accommodate both the curved and flat views of the world. In surveying and <u>geodesy</u>, we accurately define these properties with geodetic datums.

We begin modelling the Earth with a <u>sphere or ellipsoid</u>. Over time, surveyors have gathered a massive collection of surface measurements to more reliably estimate the ellipsoid.

When you combine these measurements, we arrive at a geodetic datum. Datums can precisely specify each location on Earth's surface in <u>latitude and longitude</u>. For example, NAD27, NAD83 and WGS84 are geodetic datums.



The Gnomonic projection light source is located at the sphere center. This means that it can only present less than a hemisphere at a time. THIS IS THE BASIS FOR THE NEW MEXICO STATE PLANE SYSTEM, BY DEFINED ZONE.



The stereographic projection is conformal but distortion of area and distance increases away from the center point of projection. THIS SYSTEM IS USED BY THE NAVAJO HOUSING AUTHORITY IN NEW MEXICO (Double Stereographic).

# WHAT'S THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN A PROJECTION AND A DATUM?

A PROJECTION USES THE DATUM AS A POINT OF REFERENCE, IT'S LOCATION ON EARTH

#### Local Ground-Based Coordinates from a Tangent Plane

Tangent plane projections are formed by bringing a flat plane into contact with a point of tangency on an ellipsoid and transferring features from the ellipsoid onto the plane. To define the projection, the surveyor must specify the point of tangency and the orientation of the projection. The tangent point becomes the center of the projection and is usually chosen near the center of the project site.

The meridian of the plane is usually set as true (geodetic) north. The orientation of the tangent plane can be chosen to align with a map grid such as the NM State Plane zone meridian, previous survey plans in the area, or some other convenient meridian. If aligning to NMSP the rotation angle to geodetic north will be equal to the grid convergence (a.k.a. delta alpha angle).

To minimize scale factors, the tangent plane may be raised up to the average height of the project and thereby becomes a local ground-based coordinate system. It is also common to choose appropriate false Easting and Northing values of the central point to avoid confusion with other coordinate systems.

#### THIS IS AN OBJECTIVE FOR NEW STATE PLANE DEFINITIONS! (NOAA / NGS)

#### TAILORED FOR THE QUESTION(S)

#### WHAT DOES THIS MEAN FOR ENGINEERS?

# WHAT CAN THE BOLPEPS EXPECT FOR NEW LEGISLATION AND RULES?

#### A PRIMER ON LOW-DISTORTION GROUND PLANE PROJECTIONS – HISTORY, ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS



# HORIZONTAL DATUM NAD 27 GROUND SURVEY DERIVED:

What is North American Datum 1927 (NAD27)?

NAD27 stands for North American Datum of 1927. NAD27 is the adjustment of long-baseline surveys. Overall, it established a network of standardized horizontal positions on North America. Most historical <u>USGS topographic maps</u> and projects by the US Army Corps of Engineers used NAD27 as a reference system.

A horizontal datum provides a frame of reference as a basis for placing specific locations at specific points on the spheroid. Geodesists use a horizontal datum as the model to translate a spheroid / ellipsoid into locations on Earth with latitude and longitude lines. Geodetic datums form the basis of coordinates of all horizontal positions on Earth. All coordinates on Earth are referenced to a horizontal datum. The North American Datum of 1927 (NAD27) is one of the main three geodetic datums used in North America.

NAD27 uses all horizontal geodetic surveys collected at this time using a least-square adjustment. This datum uses the Clarke Ellipsoid of 1866 with a fixed latitude and longitude at Meade's Ranch, Kansas. (39°13'26.686" north latitude, 98°32'30.506" west longitude)

TAILORED FOR THE QUESTION(S)

WHAT DOES THIS MEAN FOR ENGINEERS?

WHAT CAN THE BOLPEPS EXPECT FOR NEW LEGISLATION AND RULES?

#### A PRIMER ON LOW-DISTORTION GROUND PLANE PROJECTIONS – HISTORY, ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS



# HORIZONTAL DATUM NAD 83 GROUND SURVEYS ADJUSTED BY SATELLITE AND MASS:

What is North American Datum 1983 (NAD83)?

The <u>North American Datum of 1983 (NAD 83)</u> is the most current datum being used in North America. It provides latitude and longitude and some height information using the reference ellipsoid GRS80. Geodetic datums like the North American Datum 1983 (NAD83) form the basis of coordinates of all horizontal positions for Canada and the United States.

The North American Datum of 1983 (NAD 83) is a unified horizontal or geometric datum and successor to NAD27 providing a spatial reference for Canada and the United States.

NAD83 corrects some of the distortions from NAD27 over distance by using a more dense set of positions from terrestrial and Doppler satellite data. NAD83 is a geocentric datum (referenced to the center of Earth's mass) offset by about 2 meters. Even today, geodesists are continually improving horizontal geodetic datums.

TAILORED FOR THE QUESTION(S)

WHAT DOES THIS MEAN FOR ENGINEERS?

WHAT CAN THE BOLPEPS EXPECT FOR NEW LEGISLATION AND RULES?

#### A PRIMER ON LOW-DISTORTION GROUND PLANE PROJECTIONS – HISTORY, ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS



# HORIZONTAL DATUM NAD 83 GROUND SURVEYS ADJUSTED BY

Why the importance of the center of mass?

SATELLITE AND MASS:

Remember, your answer affects all the calculations for all earth surface satellite positioning and any projections such as state plane systems!



Newton's law of universal gravitation:

**Newton's law of gravitation**, statement that any particle of matter in the universe attracts any other with a <u>force</u> varying directly as the product of the masses and inversely as the square of the distance between them

#### TAILORED FOR THE QUESTION(S)

#### WHAT DOES THIS MEAN FOR ENGINEERS?

# WHAT CAN THE BOLPEPS EXPECT FOR NEW LEGISLATION AND RULES?

#### A PRIMER ON LOW-DISTORTION GROUND PLANE PROJECTIONS – HISTORY, ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS



# HORIZONTAL DATUM WGS84 SATELLITES AND MASS:

#### WGS84: Unifying a Global Ellipsoid Model with GPS

It wasn't until the mainstream use of Global Positioning Systems (GPS) that a unified global ellipsoid model was developed. The radio waves transmitted by GPS satellites enable extremely precise Earth measurements across continents and oceans. Global ellipsoid models have been created because of the enhancement of computing capabilities and GPS technology.

This has led to the development of global ellipsoid models such as WGS72, GRS80 and WGS84 (current). The <u>World</u> <u>Geodetic System (WGS84)</u> is the reference coordinate system used by the Global Positioning System.

Never before have we've been able to estimate the ellipsoid with such precision because of the global set of measurements provided by GPS. It is comprised of a reference ellipsoid, a standard coordinate system, altitude data and a geoid. Similar to NAD 83, it uses the Earth's center mass as the coordinate origin.

Archimedes is famous for the quote: Give me a place to stand on ... -- now we have GPS satellites! We can figuratively "stand" in outer space. Our "lever" is the collective number and precision of signals available to the surveyor.

TAILORED FOR THE QUESTION(S)

WHAT DOES THIS MEAN FOR ENGINEERS?

# WHAT CAN THE BOLPEPS EXPECT FOR NEW LEGISLATION AND RULES?

#### A PRIMER ON LOW-DISTORTION GROUND PLANE PROJECTIONS



# DATUM SHIFT AND TRANSFORMATIONS:

**Differences in datums:** 

Depending on where you are in North America, NAD27 and NAD83 may differ in tens of meters for horizontal accuracy. <u>The average correction between NAD27 and NAD83 is an average of 0.349" (arc seconds) northward and 1.822" eastward.</u>

It's important to note that the physical location has not changed. To be clear, most monuments have not moved. Datum shifts happen because survey measurements improve. Also, it happens when there are more of them and methods of geodesy change. This results in more accurate geodetic datums over time. The horizontal datums that form the basis of coordinates of all horizontal positions in North America improve.

The National Geodetic Survey has adjusted the NAD83 datum four times (realizations) since the original geodetic datum estimation in 1986. NAD83 (1986) version was intended to be geocentric and used the GRS80 ellipsoid.

Acronyms and "realizations":

NAD83 (1991, HARN, HPGN): High Accuracy Reference Network (HARN) and High Precision Geodetic Network

NAD83 (CORS96): Continually Operating Reference Stations (CORS) are composed of permanently operating Global Positioning System (GPS) receivers

NAD83 (CSRS, CACS): Canadian Spatial Reference System and Canadian Active Control System with GPS processing.

NAD83 (NSRS 2007, 2011): National Spatial Reference System and current survey standard using multi-year adjusted locations based on GNSS from the CORS.

#### TAILORED FOR THE QUESTION(S)

#### WHAT DOES THIS MEAN FOR ENGINEERS?

#### WHAT CAN THE BOLPEPS EXPECT FOR NEW LEGISLATION AND RULES?

#### A PRIMER ON LOW-DISTORTION GROUND PLANE PROJECTIONS – HISTORY, ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS



# NATRF2022 A HORIZONTAL DATUM (NOW CALLED A TERRESTRIAL REFERENCE FRAME):

#### New Datums: Replacing NAVD 88 and NAD 83

To improve the National Spatial Reference System (NSRS), NGS will replace the North American Datum of 1983 (NAD 83) and the North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (NAVD 88) with a new geometric reference frame and geopotential datum in 2022.

The new reference frames will rely primarily on Global Navigation Satellite Systems (GNSS), such as the Global Positioning System (GPS), as well as on a gravimetric geoid model resulting from the Gravity for the Redefinition of the American Vertical Datum (GRAV-D) Project: **North America-Pacific Geopotential Datum of 2022** 

According to NOAA) these new reference frames will be easier to access and to maintain than NAD 83 and NAVD 88, which rely on physical survey marks that deteriorate over time.

#### What to expect: Your coordinates will change!

The magnitude of change will vary based on the datum you are using and your geographic location. The maps show the approximate horizontal and height changes when the new reference frames are adopted.

NOTE: Orthometric Height is the ground altitude (bench mark elevation) at your location.

#### A PRIMER ON LOW-DISTORTION GROUND PLANE PROJECTIONS

1. As non-technical as possible to keep the Board informed

# WHAT DOES THIS MEAN FOR ENGINEERS?

REMEMBER SOME OF THE BASIC ACRONYMS AND CONCEPTS FOR THE AREA OF YOUR PROJECT AND ITS HISTORY OF SOURCE DATA (E.G. CONTROL MONUMENTS, PRIOR SURVEYS AND BENCHMARKS).

FOR THE ENGINEER MANAGER OR PRINCIPAL:

REQUIRE METADATA FROM CLIENT OR SURVEYOR – ESPECIALLY FROM FURNISHED DIGITAL-ONLY DATA. YOU SHOULD PUBLISH MORE DATA ON PLANS AND A SURVEYOR TO CONTACT.

PROVIDE TRAINING FOR CAD OPERATORS AND DESIGNERS.

KNOW WHEN TO EMPLOY A SURVEYOR REQUIRED BY THE CODE – OR <u>WHEN</u> TO EMPLOY THEM ANYWAY ON A COMPLICATED JOB.

FOR THE ENGINEER DESIGNER / CAD TECH / DRAFTSMAN: REMAIN (OR BECOME) AWARE OF THE NATURE OF THE NOAA CHANGES AND THE EFFECT ON THE NEW MEXICO STATE PLANE PROJECTIONS.

CHECK AND DOUBLE CHECK THE SETTINGS OF THE SOFTWARE YOU ARE USING. TAKE THE TIME TO AT LEAST IMPORT TWO POINTS WITH KNOWN AND HISTORIC VALUES AND INVERSE BETWEEN THEM!

CHECK BASIC SETTINGS FOR UNITS USED FOR DIMENSIONING AND CALCULATIONS (METERS VERSUS INTERNATIONAL OR U.S. STANDARD FEET)

TAILORED FOR THE QUESTION(S)

WHAT DOES THIS MEAN FOR ENGINEERS?

WHAT CAN THE BOLPEPS EXPECT FOR NEW LEGISLATION AND RULES?

#### A PRIMER ON LOW-DISTORTION GROUND PLANE PROJECTIONS

1. As non-technical as possible to keep the Board informed

The user of the data must understand the distortion associated with projecting ellipsoidal coordinates onto a plane surface.

THIS IS REPRESENTATIVE OF OUR EXISTING STATE PLANE DEFINITIONS BY EACH "ZONE". EXISTING PROBLEM: CONSEQUENCE FOR GROUND – TO – GRID MEASUREMENT: MAP DISTANCE ≠ "GROUND" DISTANCE DUE TO MAP PROJECTION - LINEAR DISTORTION Often called "grid vs. ground" problem A PROBLEM FOR SOME GEOSPATIAL PRODUCTS Engineering & construction plans Survey plats and legal descriptions As-built surveys and facilities management



TAILORED FOR THE QUESTION(S)

# WHAT DOES THIS MEAN FOR ENGINEERS?

# WHAT CAN THE BOLPEPS EXPECT FOR NEW LEGISLATION AND RULES?

#### A PRIMER ON LOW-DISTORTION GROUND PLANE PROJECTIONS

1. As non-technical as possible to keep the Board informed

The most common "distortion" you will encounter is the ground-to-grid factor.

THIS IS WHAT YOUR SURVEYOR CAN GENERATE WITH MODERN COLLECTION EQUIPMENT AND COMPUTERS.

#### EXISTING PROBLEM: CONVENTIONS USED BY MODERN GPS EQUIPMENT AND VIRTUAL REFERENCE DATA COLLECTION SERVICES

ARE IMPACTED BY GEODETIC PROJECTIONS: Creates a ground plane on a site basis (Often called "MODIFIED GROUND COORDINATES " on plans.) Publishes values that are difficult to retrace by others Usually lacks Metadata when delivered to engineer.

PRODUCES RESULTS THAT ARE "COMPUTER DRIVEN" Survey data collectors reduce GPS data, and/or Survey and Engineering programs could re-project, or GIS software can re-project (and are subject to careful data setup and conversion parameters).



EXISTING PROBLEM: CONVENTIONS USED BY MODERN GPS EQUIPMENT AND VIRTUAL **REFERENCE DATA COLLECTION SERVICES** ARE IMPACTED BY GEODETIC PROJECTIONS:

WHAT DOES THIS MEAN FOR **ENGINEERS?** 

FOR THE ENGINEER

MANAGER OR

**PRINCIPAL:** 

Creates a ground plane on a site basis Publishes values that are difficult to retrace by others Usually lacks Metadata when delivered to engineer.

FOR PROJECTS INITIATED WITH LOCAL BENCHMARKS AND CONTROL ESTABLISHED - NOTHING!. FOR NEW PROJECTS, DEVELOP PROCEDURES INCORPORATING AND PUBLISHING MORE DATA ON THE GROUND PLANE USED.

IF YOU HAVE BEEN USING NM STATE PLANE REFERENCES, YOU HAVE BEEN USING A GROUND PLANE.

KEEP SOFTWARE CURRENT AND HAVE CAD / GIS OPERATORS TRAINED.

ALWAYS PUBLISH A GEODETIC REFERENCE TO AT LEAST ONE KNOWN CONTROL MONUMENT. DEMAND THIS FROM SURVEYOR USED ON THE JOB.

FOR THE ENGINEER PLANSETS SHOULD PUBLISH NAME OF THE SURVEYOR AND AS MUCH **DESIGNER / CAD TECH / DRAFTSMAN:** 

METADATA NECESSARY FOR AN ADJACENT PROJECT TO VERIFY.

NEW PROJECTS SHOULD DOUBLE-PUBLISH ANY REFERENCES TO YOUR PROJECT CONTROL. I.E. DATUMS AND PROJECTIONS ON THE NEW NATRF2022 AND NMSPS22 BASIS AND ESPECIALLY BENCHMARK ELEVATIONS. ADD LATITUDE AND LONGITUDE TO MONUMENT DATA.

> **IDENTIFY INTERNATIONAL OR US STANDARD FOOT WHEN PUBLISHING THE** SITE SURVEY CONTROL INFORMATION (AND IN METERS).

#### A PRIMER ON LOW-DISTORTION GROUND PLANE PROJECTIONS

1. As non-technical as possible to keep the Board informed

# NEXT SECTION:

# WHAT DOES THIS MEAN FOR ENGINEERS?

SOFTWARE, PROJECT SETUP, "GHOST GLITCHES" AND COMPLEXITIES.

INCREASED COSTS TO SURVEYOR / PROVIDERS AND INTERNAL COSTS FOR UPGRADES AND MAINTENANCE.

EXISTING PROBLEM: CONVENTIONS USED ...

#### PRODUCES RESULTS THAT ARE " COMPUTER DRIVEN":

It's not intuitive!

"GROUND PROJECTIONS:

POB Magazine, November 20, 2000 pobonline.com/articles/84998-8220-modified-to-ground to grid coordinates.

Surveyors and engineers most often elect to work with "ground coordinates." In order to easily accomplish this with GPS, a relationship between the ellipsoid and average project elevation must be established. "Grid coordinates" are reduced to a common ellipsoid or sea level surface. A ground projection is merely the rescaling of a published "grid" mapping projection, up to average project elevation, to produce "near ground values." …

Setting up a ground projection as described **can be expedited by copying and editing a published grid coordinate system from the geodetic library found within many GPS software packages**.

It is imperative, though, to understand exactly what parameters are being applied in the coordinate transformation. Some other small actions or tricks may be necessary. For example, in TG Office Sotware (Trimble Navigation Ltd., Sunnyvale, Calif.), it is necessary to multiply the ground zones centroid scale factor (reciprocal of the combined factor) by the grid zones central meridian scale factor. The product of this formula is the actual value entered as the ground projections defining scale factor. <u>Caution must be exercised here because this is not an intuitive act.</u>"

WHAT ? (this caution is also true for Autocad 3D, ArcGIS, MicroSurvey, TBC, etc.)

SOFTWARE PACKAGES HAVE OPTIONS FOR SETTING A SOURCE OR "BASE" DATUM THAT THE ENGINEERING AND SURVEYING TECHNICIANS USE:



"GROUND PROJECTIONS: (from an earlier POB article) ... "Setting up a ground projection as described can be expedited by copying and editing a published grid coordinate system from the geodetic library found within many GPS software packages."

These fellows, and surveyors up to the 1970's, did not have a computer in their survey process. One or two people were responsible for the math.



Modern equipment and techniques have computers everywhere!

Responsibilities for the math is vested in the programs themselves and the operators who input or set up the data for a product. <u>Most</u> <u>could unintentionally modify a geospatial result:</u>

- The GPS unit and its RTK/RTN "connection", if used,
- The data collector connected to the GPS unit and "pre-loaded" with initial positional data,
- The drone and its software, including any onboard GPS,
- The office software which ultimately produces the desired product(s) be it GIS (e.g. ArcGIS / Arcview), Surveys and Engineering transitional programs (e.g. Pix 4D, Trimble Business Center) or final plans (e.g. Autocad 3D, Microsurvey).

SOFTWARE PACKAGES HAVE OPTIONS FOR SETTING A SOURCE OR "BASE" DATUM THAT THE ENGINEERING AND SURVEYING TECHNICIANS USE:

ONCE THE SOURCE (OR BASE) DATUM IS SELECTED, IMPORTED DATA IS USUALLY "TRANSFORMED" INTO THAT SYSTEM BY THE SOFTWARE.

#### EXAMPLE:

In the ArcView (GIS) program, you initially set a projected coordinate system and datum for the work you will be doing (e.g. Data Frame = NM State Plane NAD83, Central Zone, code 3002).

Then you import data done by others (such as City / County parcels in a "geodetic" format (Lat. / Long.)

The program then projects the imported data (points or polygons) into the initially set datum and projection.

"GROUND PROJECTIONS: (from an earlier POB article) ... "Setting up a ground projection as described can be expedited by copying and editing a published grid coordinate system from the geodetic library found within many GPS software packages."



SOFTWARE OPTIONS? (datum choices go way beyond Geographic or Projected - WGS84 vs. NAD 27 vs. NAD83 vs. UTM, etc.)

EXISTING PROBLEM: CONVENTIONS USED BY MODERN GPS EQUIPMENT AND VIRTUAL REFERENCE DATA COLLECTION SERVICES ARE IMPACTED BY GEODETIC PROJECTIONS:

WHAT DOES THIS MEAN FOR ENGINEERS?

Creates a ground plane on a site-by-site basis Publishes values that are difficult to retrace by others Usually lacks Metadata when delivered to engineer.

**FOR THE ENGINEER** KEEP SOFTWARE CURRENT AND HAVE CAD / GIS OPERATORS TRAINED.

IDENTIFY AND AVOID SOFTWARE THAT DOES NOT HAVE SETTINGS THAT CONFORM TO THE ADOPTED SYSTEM(S) IN THEIR UPDATES.

FOR THE ENGINEER DESIGNER / CAD TECH / DRAFTSMAN:

MANAGER OR

**PRINCIPAL:** 

ALWAYS BE CERTAIN THAT "GHOST GLITCHES" ARE NOT AFFECTING THE INTENDED RESULTS.

- THAT PROGRAM "CHECK BOX" OR DEFAULT SETTING THAT SHOULD BE USED FOR YOUR OFFICE PROCEDURES.
- BEWARE OF GEODETIC CONVERSIONS AS A PROGRAM OPTION. DO NOT INADVERTENTLY CALIBRATE A CALIBRATED SITE'S DATA OR INADVERTENTLY PROJECT A PROJECTION (ALREADY DONE).

EXISTING PROBLEM: CONVENTIONS USED BY MODERN GPS EQUIPMENT AND VIRTUAL REFERENCE DATA COLLECTION SERVICES ARE IMPACTED BY GEODETIC PROJECTIONS:

WHAT DOES THIS MEAN FOR ENGINEERS?

FOR THE ENGINEER MANAGER OR PRINCIPAL:

#### **STICKER SHOCK!**

OUR SMALL COMPANY (4+ GPS STATIONS / ROVERS DATA COLLECTORS) ESTIMATES THAT TRIMBLE DIRECT COSTS WILL EXCEED \$12,000 JUST IN EQUIPMENT SOFTWARE – NOT TO MENTION FIRMWARE AND COMPATIBILITY UPGRADES WITH DATA COLLECTORS AND GPS BASE RECEIVERS. KEEP SOFTWARE CURRENT - BE PREPARED FOR INTERNAL COSTS!

- SOFTWARE UPGRADE COSTS
- SUBSCRIPTION FEE INCREASES (TRIMBLE VRS EXAMPLE)
- FIRMWARE UPGRADES
- TRAINING AND JOB CONVERSION OVERHEAD
- COSTS FOR UPGRADING OFFICE SOFTWARE (AUTOCAD)
- DEBUGGING NEW VENDOR-PROVIDED DATUM CONVERSIONS



#### A PRIMER ON LOW-DISTORTION GROUND PLANE PROJECTIONS

1. As non-technical as possible to keep the Board informed

# NEXT SECTION:

# WHAT DOES THIS MEAN FOR ENGINEERS?

DATA SHEETS AND CONTROL POSITIONS WILL BE REPUBLISHED:

- NOAA AND NGS
- LOCAL GOVERNMENT (CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE)
- MAYBE OTHER GOVERNMENTAL UNITS (E.G. AMAFCA AND SSCAFCA)

#### "GROUND PROJECTIONS:

Page 1 of 4

#### Control Station (monument) data changes

SSCAFCA

CONTROL STATION DATA DOES NOT CHANGE FOR GEODETIC POSITIONS (LATITUDE AND LONGITUDE):

> But they may be republished as different projected systems (and realizations) are adopted.

If associated Metadata is attached to a control position, it should not be too difficult to re-project to a different ground projection.

See file <u>dsdata.pdf</u> for more information about the datasheet.	
PROGRAM = datasheet95, VERSION = 8.12.5.2	
1 National Geodetic Survey, Retrieval Date	te = MARCH 14, 2019
F01401 **********************************	**************************
F01401 DESIGNATION - SAGE	
FOIGOI FID = FOIGOI FOIGOI PID = FOIGOI	
FOLADI COUNTRY - US	
FOLAOL USGS OUAD - LOS GRIEGOS (1990)	
F01401	
F01401 *CURRENT SURVEY CO	ONTROL
F01401	
F01401* NAD 83(1992) POSITION- 35 14 50.06187(N)	106 43 21.94169(W) ADJUSTED
F01401* NAVD 88 ORTHO HEIGHT - 1730.1 (meters)	) 5676. (feet) VERTCON
F01401	00070100
FOI401 GEOID HEIGHT21.288 (meters)	DEFLEC128
F01401 HORZ ORDER - FIRST	5) 001000125
F01401	
F01401. The horizontal coordinates were established	ed by classical geodetic methods
F01401.and adjusted by the National Geodetic Surv	vey in December 1993.
F01401.	
FO1401. The NAVD 88 height was computed by applyin	ng the VERTCON shift value to
FO1401.the NGVD 29 height (displayed under SUPERS	SEDED SURVEY CONTROL.)
F01401 Significant digits in the goold height do	not pecessarily reflect accuracy
FO1401 GEOID12B height accuracy estimate availabl	a here
F01401	
F01401. The Laplace correction was computed from L	DEFLEC12B derived deflections.
F01401	
F01401. The following values were computed from t	the NAD 83(1992) position.
F01401	Haita Carla Paskan Connena
FO1401; NOTEN Bast	MT 0 00002200 _0 16 22 2
F01401; SPC NM C = 1.545,611,58 1.499,264.76	sF7 0.99992280 -0 16 22.2
F01401;UTM 13 - 3,901,821.666 343,261.522	MT 0.99990277 -0 59 39.9
F01401	
F01401! - Elev Factor x Scale Fact	or = Combined Factor
F014011SPC NM C - 0.99973185 x 0.9999228	0 = 0.99965468
FC1401!UTM 13 - 0.99973185 x 0.9999027	7 = 0.99963465
F01401	Creid by
FOI401: Frimary Azimuth Mark	297 07 23 6
FO1401:3FC NH C - SAGE A2 NK	297 50 41.3
F01401	257 50 4215
F01401 U.S. NATIONAL GRID SPATIAL ADDRESS: 13SCV4	326101821(NAD 83)
F01401	
F01401	
F01401  PID Reference Object	Distance Geod. Az
F014011	dddmmss.s
FUIAULI CD4018 SAGE RM Z	11.700 METERS 00108
FOLADI FOLATO DIO DANCUO FORATES WATER TANK	

STATION DATA	DATE:	MAY, 2014
NAME OF STATION: BLACKS ARROYO GPS PT_253	STATE: N.M. COU	NTY: SANDOVAL
SURVEYED BY: COMMUNITY SCIENCES CORP. (SET)	YEAR: 2013 SURVEYOR:	T.PATRICK #12651
SECTION: PROJ.SEC.35 TOWNSHIP: T12N RANGE:R: DESCRIPTION: Establishment of photogrammethy paral positions (Cooper Annial Surveys, May, 2014) and SSCAFCA monumentation May, 2014 (CSC): Two asks of control posits have been established to this project. Panal points for any in photography wave all anound the pointset. The New Mators State Plane control avoid as for these points wave demod from its solutions provided by the National Geoder Survey Online Positions	RENNPM GRID NO.:R.R.AT	AS 3 PG. 38
User Service (UP-DG), Inner Indextrain coordinates wells Scaled of by a continue data blach by photocal modified ground coordinates for the project. Orthomatic elevations were assigned to these points part the OPUS solutions. The points were then collected by GPS-RTK method using the local Vectors Real-Time Network. These collected GPS points were then calibrated ladytack functionally and well-shall be the modified ground coordinates or the NBDB (2011) of the solution of the NBDB (2011) hortcontail elevation and the by WHDB well-calibrated automatic for CPIRS solutions as a clock against the calibrated and solution to CPIRS solutions as a clock against the calibrated and Statem is a 3-12° BBBs Diak well in coronale stamped'SSCAFCA (2011) MINI MENT (1995)		UNSEA BLVD (2
DIRECTIONS: FROM THE INTERBETION OF SOUTHERN BOULEVARDAND UNSER BOULEVARD, CITY OF RIO PANCHO, COMMENCE WESTERLY APPROX. Os MILES TO VERANCH ROAD SE. THEN SOUTHERLY OS MILES APPROX. TO SENT MET DONE OF SET THE SET OF THE STATION. THE ROADWAY, WESTERLY APPROX. TO THE STATION.	250 500 1,000 1,500 M Sample 3 1/2' BRASS DISK AND CSC WASHER FOR ID	
FIELD METHOD: TRAVERSE TRANGULATION: TRILATERATION	N: GPS (STATIC) XX_ GPS (RT	KORRTN): XX
GEOGRAPHIC POSITION (N.A.D. 83): LATITUDE: N 35° 14' 10.99221"	LONGITUDE: W 106° 4	2' 03.58169"
N.M. STATE PLANE COORDINATES, 1983, CENTRALZONE (CODE 3002 HA	ARN) BASED ON: OPUS RAPID STA	TIC OBSERVATION
X =1,505,745.72' sFT Y =1,541,631.41' sFT JAN, 2015: MODF ED GROUND COORDINATES (SURVEY BASIS): X=1,505	GND TO GRID FACTOR:0.9 5,745.14 sFT, Y= 1,541.631.56 sFT	9966662 (COMB.)
ELEVATION DATA: FIELD METHOD: SPIRIT LEVEL TRIGONOMETRIC: GPS (STATIC	C) GPS (RTK OR RTN): XX D	GEOID 12A ATUM: NAVD 88
ELEVATION: 5383.75 NAV D88 FEET, 1640.973 METERS O AZIMUTH DATA: DELTAALDHAANGLE: 0° 15' 36.74° STATION GRID BEARING GEODETIC AZ SSCAFCA CONTRAL BLACKS PT-255 S 24' 59' 12' E SE COR LOT 11' AT VERANDA W R/W S 65' 07'35' E	RDER: <u>3 RD</u> ELLIPSC DISTANCE (FT.) 1833.52 187. <i>8</i> 7	DID HT. <u>5313.73 sFT</u> . DISTANCE (M.) 558.858 57.264

SURVEY CONTROL

SOUTHERN SANDOVAL COUNTY ARROYO

FLOOD CONTROL AUTHORITY

NGS DATA SHEETS? (future publications will likely NOT include a list of State Plane values. For other local agencies (AMAFCA example above) it is up to the agency to provide any new publication.) New NGS data sheets will also consider the <u>velocities</u> of control positions.

METADATA WILL BE CRITICAL FOR THE SURVEYOR AND ENGINEER WHEN **DIFFERING SYSTEMS OF PROJECTED GRIDS** ARE ADOPTED:

This example file was created by a software program (ArcGIS) and is filled-in by the creator (.XML BY C. SPIROCK). IT SHOULD BE "ATTACHED" TO THE DELIVERABLE FILE(S)!

Since authorative Digital Geospatial Data is governed by the NM E&P Act, this type of data augments the traditional "signed and sealed" paper documents.

file://

	Other citation details: Part of a monument survey including data for subsidend	Page 1 of
	Larger work citation: Citation information: Originators: Commu	AMAFCA NW CONTROL COVER PIEDRAS MARCARDAS 2018.mxd
Spatial domain: Bounding coordinates: West bounding coordinate	Title: AMAFCA control	Data format: Map
East bounding coordinate North bounding coordinat South bounding coordinat	Publication date: Au Geospatial data pre	File or table name: AMAFCA NW CONTROL COVER PIEDRAS MARCARDAS 2018.mxd
Minimum altitude: 5046	Other citation detai	Coordinate system: Geographic
Maximum altitude: 5047 Altitude units: U.S. Ft.	Part of a monun settlement for A	Theme keywords: AMAFCA, Control monuments
Keywords: Theme: Theme keywords: AMAFCA Theme keyword thesauru:	Description: Abstract: This monument station is part of : AMAFCA to determine geodetic pc	Abstract: This monument station is part of a survey to revisit control monuments for MAFCA to determine geodetic positions, verify elevations and to create monument control sheets for use by the agency and others. The sites are in the Albuquerque, NM area, NW area quadrant.
Place:	monument control sheets for use Albuquerque, NM area, NW area c	FGDC and ESRI Metadata:
Stratum: Stratum keywords: NW All	Purpose: This is one monument as part of a the Albuquerque Metropolitan Arm Sciences Corporation, Thomas W.	Identification Information     Data Quality Information     Spatial Data Organization Information     Snatial Patemere Information
Use constraints: Client and authorized persons,	Dam Basin control system vicinity monuments, City of Albuquerque, MPCOG Bernalillo County orthogh	Entity and Attribute Information     Distribution Information     Mardata Reference Information
Point of contact: Contact information: Contact organization prim Contact person: Cliff Contact organizatior	Supplemental information: This is one monument extracted f Monument" data sheet. The proje found and verified. Other control	<ul> <li><u>Instantion Recretions and instantion in the Rederal Geographic Data Committee's (RGDC) Content</u> Standard by Dotal Geographic Molectatar (CSDDM). Elements shown with green text are defined in the <u>SSD Profile</u> <u>STate CSDM</u>. Elements shown with a green attentic (*) will be automatically updated by ArcCatalog. ArcCatalog adds hints indicating with RGC Geometric are maindatory; these are shown with grey text.</li> </ul>
Contact position: COB Contact voice telephone: Contact facsimile telepho	data table. Also, the cover sheet a methodology used and contains a Consulting) circa. 2012.	Identification Information:
Contact electronic mail ad	*Language of dataset: en Time period of content:	Citation: Citation information:
PO Box 1328	Time period information: Single date/time:	Conginators: Community Sciences Corporation
(505) 897-0000 ex. 10	Calendar date: //31/2018 Currentness reference:	AMAFCA NW CONTROL COVER PIEDRAS MARCARDAS 2018.mxd *File or table name: AMAFCA NW CONTROL COVER PIEDRAS MARCARDAS
Browse graphic: Browse graphic file name: <u>\N16</u> \GIS AND DATA\*.PDF PRINTS	August, 2018 Status:	2018.mxd Publication date: August 31, 2018
Browse graphic file description Series of control monument Browse graphic file type: PDF	Progress: Complete Maintenance and update frequency:	Geospatial data presentation form: map Series information:
Data set credit: C.A. Spirock NMPLS #4972		Issue identification: CSC surveys (these data)
Security information: Security classification system: No	file:///C:/Users/caspirock.CSC/AppData/Local/Temp/	Publication information: Publisher: Community Sciences Corporation
C:/Users/caspirock.CSC/AppData/Local/Ten	mp/metadata20.htm 9/25/2018	nie///C/Users/caspirock.CSC/AppData/Local/Temp/metadata20.htm 9/25/20

### The importance of Metadata

Page 2 of 9

Page 1 of 9

9/25/2018

**METADATA?** (the Data about the Data.)

This should be expected from your surveyor

#### **"GROUND PROJECTIONS:**

#### A NEW DATUM FOR NOAA AND NGS (IN ADDITION TO NAD27, NAD83, WGS84, ETC.)

- 2. North America Terrestrial Reference Frame of 2022 (NATRF2022) (NGS)
- 3. Simultaneous release of new vertical datum: North America-Pacific Geopotential Datum of 2022 (NAPGD2022)

# WHAT DOES THIS MEAN FOR ENGINEERS?

LEARN ABOUT MODIFIED GROUND PLANES IN USE TODAY.

PAY PARTICULAR ATTENTION TO SOFTWARE AVAILABLE FOR DATUM SHIFTS AND THE ABILITY TO ACCEPT THE NEW PROJECTIONS TO NMSP2022.

LOOK FOR REVISIONS TO CONTROL STATION DATA SHEETS (SUCH AS NOAA, CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE, AMAFCA, ETC.)

WATCH OUT FOR NEW VERTICAL (ELEVATION) COMPONENTS AFFECTING ORTHOMETRIC HEIGHTS (BENCHMARK ELEVATIONS) FOR YOUR PROJECTS.

TAILORED FOR THE QUESTION(S)

WHAT DOES THIS MEAN FOR ENGINEERS?

WHAT CAN THE BOLPEPS EXPECT FOR NEW LEGISLATION AND RULES?

# A NEW DATUM FOR NOAA AND NGS:

# (IN ADDITION TO NAD27, NAD83, WGS84, ETC.)

- 2. North America Terrestrial Reference Frame of 2022 (NATRF2022) (NGS)
- 3. Simultaneous release of new vertical datum: North America-Pacific Geopotential Datum of 2022 (NAPGD2022).
- 4. Geoid 18 (Beta now)
- 5. Changes to OPUS procedures

# WHY?

#### Why is NGS replacing NAD 83 and NAVD 88?

NAD 83 and NAVD 88, although still the official horizontal and vertical datums of the National Spatial Reference System (NSRS), have been identified as having shortcomings that are best addressed through defining new horizontal and vertical datums. Specifically:

- NAD 83 is non-geocentric by about 2.2 meters.
- NAVD 88 is both biased (by about one-half meter) and tilted (about 1 meter coast to coast) relative to the best global geoid models available today.

These issues derive from the fact that both datums were defined primarily using terrestrial surveying techniques at passive geodetic survey marks. This network of survey marks deteriorates over time (both through unchecked physical movement and simple removal), and resources are not available to maintain them.

More: https://geodesy.noaa.gov/geospatialsummit/2019/presentations.shtml

TAILORED FOR THE QUESTION(S)

WHAT DOES THIS MEAN FOR ENGINEERS?

WHAT CAN THE BOLPEPS EXPECT FOR NEW LEGISLATION AND RULES?

A NEW DATUM FOR NOAA AND NGS:

# (IN ADDITION TO NAD27, NAD83, WGS84, ETC.)

- 2. North America Terrestrial Reference Frame of 2022 (NATRF2022) (NGS)
- Simultaneous release of new vertical datum: North America-Pacific Geopotential Datum of 2022 (NAPGD2022).

NOTE: COMMENT PERIOD CLOSING.

#### Your NAD 83-Based State Plane-Legislated Coordinates Will Not Be Maintained after 2022!



NOAF

What will you and your fellow professionals do? **Panic? Ignore the Issue?** or Act? Please let us know!

What is changing?

The North American Datum of 1983 (NAD 83) will be replaced in 2022. The new datum will have a different name.

The North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (NAVD 88) will also be replaced in 2022. Its replacement will also have a new name.

Expected horizontal shifts from NAD 83 to the new datum are in the 1-2 meter range. The National Geodetic Survey will provide a coarse, map-grade transformation tool (such as NADCON and GEOCON) to connect NAD 83 with the new datum.

#### Who will be affected?

All states and territories will be transitioned to the new datums. Forty-eight states have a state-specific coordinate system law tied to NAD 83. Your state law will not reflect the National Spatial Reference System after 2022.

#### Who can help?

The National Geodetic Survey (NGS), the National Society of Professional Surveyors (NSPS) and the American Association for Geodetic Surveying (AAGS) are here to help your state make these changes in legislation!

You can help by understanding your own state's laws and how these changes will impact you.

The Federal Register Notice (83 FR 17149) states:

NOAA's National Geodetic Survey (NGS) will establish the State Plane Coordinate System of 2022 (SPCS2022) as part of the transition to the 2022 Terrestrial Reference Frames (TRFs). SPCS2022 is the successor to previous versions referenced to the North American Datums of 1983 and 1927....NGS has developed draft policy and procedures that propose defining characteristics and requirements for SPCS2022. These documents also provide mechanisms for user input on initial design of SPCS2022 and subsequent changes. The aim is for SPCS2022 to meet the needs of NGS customers for the future NSRS. To achieve that goal, NGS is inviting written comments on the draft SPCS2022 policy.

(due August, 2019) for the comment period.

TAILORED FOR THE QUESTION(S)

# WHAT DOES THIS MEAN FOR ENGINEERS?

WHAT CAN THE BOLPEPS EXPECT FOR NEW LEGISLATION AND RULES?

# A NEW DATUM FOR NOAA AND NGS:

- 2. North America Terrestrial Reference Frame of 2022 (NATRF2022) (NGS)
- 3. Simultaneous release of new vertical datum: North America-Pacific Geopotential Datum of 2022 (NAPGD2022)

#### 2022 VERTICAL CHANGES AND FUTURE 5-YR CHANGES FROM NOAA MONITORING INCLUDING FEEDBACK

#### EXISTING PROBLEM: ORTHOMETRIC HEIGHTS CHANGES AND MASS MOVEMENT = GEOID MONITORING SERVICE (GEMS)

OUT: NAVD 88, DATUMS FOR ISLANDS, GRAVITY MODELS, IGLD 85, ETC.

IN: NAPGD2022

- CAN DETERMINE GEOID HEIGHTS, ORTHOMETRIC HEIGHTS, GRAVITY, DOV, ETC.
- ALL RELATED THROUGH FIVE ARCMINUTE REFERENCE FIELD
- HIGHER RESOLUTION PRODUCTS (ONE ARCMINUTE) FOR GEOID, DOV, GRAVITY

GEMS TO ACCOUNT FOR TIME VARIABILITY OF GEOPOTENTIAL FIELD

- MASS VARIATIONS DUE TO SECULAR, EPISODIC AND PERIODIC CHANGES
- APPLY A TIME VARYING FIELD TO ACCOUNT FOR CHANGES IN POSITION/DATUM
  - WORKS IN CONJUNCTION WITH VERTICAL COMPONENT OF IFVM



TAILORED FOR THE QUESTION(S)

# WHAT DOES THIS MEAN FOR ENGINEERS?

WHAT CAN THE BOLPEPS EXPECT FOR NEW LEGISLATION AND RULES?

# A NEW DATUM FOR NOAA AND NGS:

# (IN ADDITION TO NAD27, NAD83, WGS84, ETC.)

- 2. North America Terrestrial Reference Frame of 2022 (NATRF2022) (NGS)
- Simultaneous release of new vertical datum: North America-Pacific Geopotential Datum of 2022 (NAPGD2022)

# EXISTING PROBLEM: NEW MEXICO STATE PLANE ZONES:

TRANSVERSE MERCATOR PROJECTION Now 3 Zones conforming to County lines. THEY CAN CHANGE WITH NEW DATUMS (REALIZATIONS) Map shows changes resulting from NAD27 to NAD 83 Nad83 has four current realizations.

# ASIDE: NAVAJO HOUSING AUTHORITY USES A DOUBLE STEROGRAPHIC PROJECTION

In addition to NMSP, AZSP, UTM and other projections. Developed due to scaling and distortion resulting from reservation size.



TAILORED FOR THE QUESTION(S)

# WHAT DOES THIS MEAN FOR ENGINEERS?

WHAT CAN THE BOLPEPS EXPECT FOR NEW LEGISLATION AND RULES?

# A NEW DATUM FOR NOAA AND NGS:

# (IN ADDITION TO NAD27, NAD83, WGS84, ETC.)

- 2. North America Terrestrial Reference Frame of 2022 (NATRF2022) (NGS)
- Simultaneous release of new vertical datum: North America-Pacific Geopotential Datum of 2022 (NAPGD2022)

EXISTING PROBLEM: NEW MEXICO STATE PLANE ZONES:

#### THE INDIVIDUAL STATES ARE DELIBERATING AND RECOMMENDING A STATE PLANE SYSTEM TO FIT THEIR NEEDS.

And notes from NMPS (Chris Pappas):

- The NGS is defining a new state plane coordinate system. Over the years with continuous observation of the earth a new center on mass of the earth has been calculated. It is approximately 2 meters or 6 feet different from the currently used center. The new coordinate system addresses this difference.
- Currently there is confusion as to which foot definition to use, NGS's proposed solution is to use the international foot. This will eliminate some of the confusion going forward.
- The new SPC 2022 will be a closer approximation of the surface of the earth and will has less distortion that the old system.

and you may want to mention... that the longitude will change to an east based system with 0 starting at the current 180 longitude in the pacific and continuing east to Greenwich making it 180 degree longitude (no longer zero).

So New Mexico will be at 74 degrees longitude east and not 106 degrees west longitude!

# CHANGE IN LONGITUDE?

TAILORED FOR THE QUESTION(S)

WHAT DOES THIS MEAN FOR ENGINEERS?

WHAT CAN THE BOLPEPS EXPECT FOR NEW LEGISLATION AND RULES?

A NEW DATUM FOR NOAA AND NGS:

(IN ADDITION TO NAD27, NAD83, WGS84, ETC.)

- 2. North America Terrestrial Reference Frame of 2022 (NATRF2022) (NGS)
- Simultaneous release of new vertical datum: North America-Pacific Geopotential Datum of 2022 (NAPGD2022)

EXISTING PROBLEM: NEW MEXICO STATE PLANE ZONES:

#### THE INDIVIDUAL STATES ARE DELIBERATING AND RECOMMENDING A STATE PLANE SYSTEM TO FIT THEIR NEEDS.

MINUTES OF PUBLIC MEETING OF THE ARIZONA GEOGRAPHIC INFORMATION COUNCIL DATA COMMITTEE – State Plane Coordinates 2022 Work Group (note costs if not using NOAA defaults):

Selection of linear unit and outreach efforts to other States The discussion continued regarding response considerations, such as official linear unit. Presently Arizona is an international foot state; this is a minority in the country.

NGS will only support a 'default' approach for design of new SPCS. States will need to pay for their own development tools (discuss outreach to other States to partner on LDP design software). NGS will only commit resources to default approach; they are not creating special purpose zones. They may allow a state to produce and submit their own designs for review, but we will have to pay for it as a state; potential for cost sharing. LDP software may be available from a previous company Michael Dennis created. ... Grants available? NGS does not have money to provide software for individual state design; \$40,000 for turning on the mentioned software for a year ...

> CHANGE IN A FOOT UNIT? (International foot (3.28084 Ft / Meter) vs. U.S. Survey foot (3.28083 Ft / Meter)

TAILORED FOR THE QUESTION(S)

WHAT DOES THIS MEAN FOR ENGINEERS?

WHAT CAN THE BOLPEPS EXPECT FOR NEW LEGISLATION AND RULES?

# A NEW DATUM FOR NOAA AND NGS:

# (IN ADDITION TO NAD27, NAD83, WGS84, ETC.)

- 2. North America Terrestrial Reference Frame of 2022 (NATRF2022) (NGS)
- Simultaneous release of new vertical datum: North America-Pacific Geopotential Datum of 2022 (NAPGD2022)

EXISTING PROBLEM: NEW MEXICO STATE PLANE ZONES:

#### THE INDIVIDUAL STATES ARE DELIBERATING AND RECOMMENDING A STATE PLANE SYSTEM TO FIT THEIR NEEDS.

Fate of the U.S. Survey Foot after 2022: A Conversation with NGS April 25, 2019, 2 pm, Eastern Time Dr. Michael Dennis, National Geodetic Survey:

Having two feet is great for people, but not so good for standards. Since 1959, two definitions of the foot have been used in the U.S. One is the "international foot", adopted nationwide at that time. The other is the "U.S. survey foot", a renamed perpetuation of the 1893 definition that was intended as temporary. Although the U.S. survey foot is longer by only 2 parts per million (0.01 foot per mile), having both in use at the same time creates real problems with real costs. The result is decades of confusion and chaos in fields where large distances and coordinates are used, such as surveying and mapping. NGS missed an opportunity to fix this problem in 1986, with the change from the NAD 27 to NAD 83 datums. Another opportunity is in 2022 with the modernization of the National Spatial Reference System. The referenced webinar reviews the history of the foot, discusses the vital importance of standards, and gives examples of problems created by having two feet

https://www.ngs.noaa.gov/web/science\_edu/webinar\_series/fate-ofus-survey-foot.shtml

## **CHANGE IN A FOOT UNIT?**

#### A NEW DATUM FOR NOAA AND NGS (IN ADDITION TO NAD27, NAD83, WGS84, ETC.)

- 2. North America Terrestrial Reference Frame of 2022 (NATRF2022) (NGS)
- 3. Simultaneous release of new vertical datum: North America-Pacific Geopotential Datum of 2022 (NAPGD2022).
- 4. Geoid 18 (Beta now)
- 5. Changes to OPUS procedures

# WHAT DOES THIS MEAN FOR ENGINEERS?

# NOW FOR THE "ELEPHANT IN THE ROOM"

STATE PLANE ZONES AND LOW-DISTORTION GROUND PLANE SURFACES!

TAILORED FOR THE QUESTION(S)

WHAT DOES THIS MEAN FOR ENGINEERS?

WHAT CAN THE BOLPEPS EXPECT FOR NEW LEGISLATION AND RULES?

A NEW DATUM FOR NOAA AND NGS:

# (IN ADDITION TO NAD27, NAD83, WGS84, ETC.)

- 2. North America Terrestrial Reference Frame of 2022 (NATRF2022) (NGS)
- Simultaneous release of new vertical datum: North America-Pacific Geopotential Datum of 2022 (NAPGD2022)

EXISTING PROBLEM: NEW MEXICO STATE PLANE ZONES:

#### THE INDIVIDUAL STATES ARE DELIBERATING AND RECOMMENDING A STATE PLANE SYSTEM TO FIT THEIR NEEDS (SAMPLE FROM ARIZONA):



**PIMA CO. ARIZONA** - (example of LDP system (M. Dennis): 4 Zones for one county! Colorado considering even more zones <u>per</u> <u>county</u> (and changing from Lambert Conformal to Transverse Mercator). Bernalillo County, NM may end up with multiple zones!

#### ADDITIONAL RESOURCES:

TAILORED FOR THE QUESTION(S)

WHAT DOES THIS MEAN FOR ENGINEERS?

WHAT CAN THE BOLPEPS EXPECT FOR NEW LEGISLATION AND RULES?

A NEW DATUM FOR NOAA AND NGS:

# (IN ADDITION TO NAD27, NAD83, WGS84, ETC.)

- 2. North America Terrestrial Reference Frame of 2022 (NATRF2022) (NGS)
- 3. Simultaneous release of new vertical datum: North America-Pacific Geopotential Datum of 2022 (NAPGD2022)

HTTPS://GEODESY.NOAA.GOV/WEB/SCIENCE\_EDU/PRESENTATIO NS\_LIBRARY/FILES/STONE\_NMGIC\_2019\_UPLOAD.PDF

(<u>HTTPS://GEODESY.NOAA.GOV/WEB/SCIENCE\_EDU/PRESENTATIO</u> <u>NS\_LIBRARY/</u>),

LIST OF RECORDED WEBINARS (<u>HTTPS://GEODESY.NOAA.GOV/WEB/SCIENCE\_EDU/WEBINAR\_SE</u> <u>RIES/2019-WEBINARS.SHTML</u>),

WEB PAGES ABOUT STATE PLANE AT <u>HTTPS://GEODESY.NOAA.GOV/SPCS/INDEX.SHTML</u>.

UNDER THE "STATE PLANE POLICY" QUICK LINK ON THE LEFT (IN THE SITE), YOU WILL FIND THE CURRENT VERSION OF SPCS2022 POLICY AND PROCEDURES

#### IMPACTS ON NEW MEXICO LAWS AND CONVENTIONS (AS THEY ARE REFERENCED IN VARIOUS STATUTES)

## **NEXT SECTION:**

## WHAT DOES THIS MEAN FOR ENGINEERS?



YOUR STATE BOARD OF LICENSURE WILL HAVE TO PLOW THROUGH A LOT OF EXISTING LEGISLATION FOR ANY RECOMMEND REVISIONS TO THE E&P ACT, ADMINISTRATIVE CODE, AND MINIMUM STANDARDS. FORTUNATELY, MY QUICK RESEARCH, SO FAR, DOES NOT INDICATE ANY REVISIONS TO THIS PART OF THE LAW.

IN ADDITION TO THE LAWS WE ARE NORMALLY FAMILIARIZED WITH, WE MAY BE ASKED TO RECOMMEND CHANGES TO OTHER LAWS AND CODES (SUCH AS):

- PROPERTY LAW
- SUBDIVISION ACT
- CONDOMINIUM ACT
- CLERK AND RECORDING PROVISIONS

NOT TO MENTION EDUCATIONAL SESSIONS WITH LEGISLATORS, STATE EXECUTIVES AND ADMINISTRATORS, ETC.

#### **Obviously**, Statute sections like these must be carefully reworded

	Section 47	7-1-49 — New Mexic ew Mexico coordin	co coordinate system; zones. ate system; zones.		
2017 New Mexico Statutes Chapter 47 - Property Law Article 1 - Conveyances and Ge Section 47-1-53 - Definition of c survey. Universal Citation: <u>NM Stat § 47</u> 47-1-53. Definition of coordinate A. For purposes of more precisely geodetic survey is adopted: (1) the New Mexico coordinate sys meridian the scale is set at one pa the parallel 31 00' north latitude; (2) the New Mexico coordinate sys which meridian the scale is set at Greenwich and the parallel 31 00' (3) the New Mexico coordinate sys meridian the scale is set at one pa the parallel 31 00' north latitude; ar (4) the origin for each zone is assig the east zone is assigned to the co the west zone x = 830,000 meters B. The position of the New Mexico standards adopted by the national positions have been rigidly adjusted defined in this section. Any such st	The syster national ge of the eart coordinate Mexico co For the pu and a "we The area Curry, De The area Curry, De The area Ana, Linc Valencia. The area Grant, Hic stem, west z rt in 12,000 nd gned the co pordinates: x and y = 0 m coordinate ocean surve d on the Not	n of plane coordinat eodetic survey for de h within the state of <b>2017 New Mexico</b> <b>Chapter 47 - Prope</b> <b>Article 1 - Conveys</b> <b>Section 47-1-51 - F</b> <b>Universal Citation</b> <b>47-1-51. Plane coor</b> The plane coordina location of the poir expressed in feet a and expressed in fe	tes which has been established by the national ocean survey and fining and stating the positions or locations of points on the surface New Mexico shall be known and designated as the "New Mexico Statutes erty Law ances and General Provisions Plane coordinates, x and y; definition. : <u>NM Stat § 47-1-51 (2017)</u> ordinates, x and y; definition. : <u>NM Stat § 47-1-51 (2017)</u> ordinates, x and y; definition. the of a point on the cartb's surface to be used in expressing the position Article 1 - Conveyances and General Provisions Section 47-1-50 - Zone designations. Universal Citation: <u>NM Stat § 47-1-50 (2017)</u> 47-1-50. Zone designations. As established for use in the east zone, the New Mexico coordinate s any land description in which it is used it shall be designated the "New of 1927, east zone" or the "New Mexico coordinate system of 1983, e As established for use in the central zone, the New Mexico coordinate in any land description in which it is used it shall be designated the "New of 1927, central zone" or the "New Mexico coordinate system As established for use in the west zone, the New Mexico coordinate s any land description in which it is used it shall be designated the "New As established for use in the west zone, the New Mexico coordinate system As established for use in the west zone, the New Mexico coordinate system As established for use in the west zone, the New Mexico coordinate system As established for use in the west zone, the New Mexico coordinate system As established for use in the west zone, the New Mexico coordinate system of 1927, west zone [zone"] or the "New Mexico coordinate system. 1090 of 104 5	system shall be w Mexico coord east zone". e system shall New Mexico coord of 1983, centra system shall be w Mexico coord 1983, west zor 289, ch. 104, 2.	named and in dinate system be named and ordinate al zone". a named and in dinate system ne".
History: 1953 Comp., 70-1-51, en	acted by Lav	ws 1957, ch. 147, 5;	1989, cn. 104, 5.		

Current NSPS Template for Legislative changes:

Possible NMBOLPEPS Committee to review and draft model for introduction?

Template Draft NSRS Legislation (NSPS - Oct, 2019):

How to use this template:

1) Whenever the word "state" is used below, it should be taken to mean "state or territory"

2) The intent of this template is to augment, not fully replace, existing state laws dealing with a state-specific coordinate system and its relationship to existing or prior datums of the National Spatial Reference System (NSRS).

3) The National Geodetic Survey (NGS) intends to release a new State Plane Coordinate System (SPCS) as part of the release of any new geometric datum, including that planned for release in 2022. As such, it is imperative that each state do the following:

a. Ensure that any changes from the 1983 SPCS which the majority of geospatial professionals in the state wish to make, be agreed

b. at the state level and communicated to NGS, prior to 2022 and

b. Ensure that any law naming the state-specific coordinate system contains a definition of how that state-specific coordinate system relates to the SPCS.

For example, if Michigan wishes to legislate that the "Michigan Plane Coordinate System" be used in the state of Michigan, then the law should specify that the "Michigan Plane Coordinate System" is identical to (or in some other way, defined in the law, related to) the "Michigan portion of the State Plane Coordinate System as defined by the National Geodetic Survey".

4) Related to #2 above, language should *connect* the state-desired coordinate system to the federally-defined SPCS, while leaving state and federal responsibilities independent.

For example, both NGS and the California Spatial Reference Center (CSRC) cannot be *jointly* responsible for the California Plane Coordinate System (if that is the name chosen by California). If the CSRC is going to define the California Plane Coordinate System, they should solely define it, and have the law reflect how it relates to the federal (NGS-specified) SPCS.

5) Reference to specific years or datum names within the NSRS should be avoided, as the intent of the template is to provide legislation that will be accurate and relevant both today (under NAD 83), through the new datum (in 2022) and beyond to whatever datums come after 2022.

6) Wherever the phrase "<state>" is used in the template below, insert the name of your specific state or territory.

7) Sections which are considered optional are set aside (in parentheses and in red)

8) Sections which are explanatory and not to be copied into the law are in **bold and italic**.

9) Parts of the law where a choice of options must be made are set <in brackets and highlighted>

10) While most states legislate the use of a *planar* coordinate system, this template addresses both *planar* and *geodetic* coordinates, to provide the greatest flexibility across all states

Possible NMBOLPEPS Committee to review and draft model for introduction?

Template Draft NSRS Legislation (NSPS - Oct, 2019) Continued:

#### I. Acronyms

The following acronyms will be used throughout this law in order to increase conciseness.

NSRS = The National Spatial Reference System or its successors

NGS = The National Geodetic Survey or its successors

SPCS = State Plane Coordinate System or its successors

\*PCS = <state> Plane Coordinate System (where \* is the first letter of the state)

(If a state feels clarity about the above terms is necessary, then insert a possible hierarchical section here, outlining that the NSRS is the overarching system, and that below that are various datums. Below the datums are projected coordinates within the datums, including the SPCS)

#### II. The <state> Plane Coordinate System

The most recent system of plane coordinates which has been established by NGS, based on the NSRS, and known as the SPCS, for defining and stating the positions or locations of points on the surface of the earth within the State of <state> shall be known as the "<state> Plane Coordinate System." *This paragraph should serve, provided states do not wish to deviate from the SPCS. If they do, see the third parenthetical below.* 

(Details how such a system should be used within your state)

(Keep existing 27/83 definitions in place)

(Any state or territory wishing to define other projections besides those found in NGS's SPCS should do so here. If the state-specific Plane Coordinate System will include anything like this, which is outside the scope of the SPCS, make sure not to attribute any aspects of it to NGS nor to the SPCS, but only to whatever state agency is going to define this exo-SPCS component of the state-specific Plane Coordinate System)

The plane coordinates of a point on the earth's surface, to be used in expressing the position or location of the point in the appropriate zone of the \*PCS, shall consist of two distances, expressed in feet and decimals of a foot or meters and decimals of a meter. When the values are expressed in feet, the <define which foot to be used. Either "U.S. Survey foot," (one U.S. Survey foot = 1200/3937 meters) or "International foot," (one International foot = 0.3048 meters)> shall be used as the standard foot for \*PCS. One of these distances, to be known as the "East x-coordinate," shall give the distance east of the Y axis; the other, to be known as the "North y-coordinate," shall give the distance north of the X axis. The Y axis of any zone shall be parallel with the central meridian of that zone. The X axis of any zone shall be at right angles to the central meridian of that zone. (insert text about accuracy and use of points in the system)

Possible NMBOLPEPS Committee to review and draft model for introduction?

Template Draft NSRS Legislation (NSPS - Oct, 2019) Continued:

III. Geodetic Coordinates

*This section would only be inserted for those states specifically wishing to legislate the use of geodetic coordinates.* The official geodetic datums to which geodetic coordinates (including, but not limited to, latitude, longitude, ellipsoid height, orthometric height or dynamic height) are referenced within the State of <state> shall be as defined for the NSRS (insert any state specific information about how the NSRS is realized or accessed). *The use of dynamic height in the above sentence is optional.* 

(Statement about other geodetic work)

The provisions of this chapter shall not be construed to prohibit the appropriate use of other datums and other geodetic reference networks.

(Possible wording about "state specific geodetic coordinates" to include heights – note, the committee does not endorse this approach, but does not feel it must be prohibited. However, any state-specific geodetic coordinate which differs from the NSRS, is not part of the NSRS. No attribution of *state-specific* geodetic coordinates should be made to NGS or to the NSRS).

### FOR THE NMBOLPEPS:

DO WE ADOPT TEMPLATE CHANGES REFERING ALL TO NGS/NOAA (AS RECOMMENDED) INCLUDING THE ADOPTION OF THE INTERNATIONAL FOOT?

DO WE RECOMMEND SPECIALIZED, TAILORED SYSTEMS AND PROVIDE DEFINITIONS?

DO WE USE CURRENT DEFINITIONS FOR NAD27 AND NAD83 AS NOW STATED (KEEP FOR PRE-2022 POSTERITY) AND ADD NEW LANGUAGE FOR SYSTEMS ADOPTED IN 2022?

WHAT TO DO ABOUT OFFICIAL NMSP "ZONES" (POSSIBLY 35 COUNTIES X 3 = ESTIMATED >100 ZONES)?

### **TOPIC: THE FUTURE OF SURVEYING** TO CHANGE THE EXISTING STATUTES AND RULES!

BOARD MEMBERS MUST RESPECT THREE PRIMARY LAWS AND "RULES" AND THEIR HIERARCHY

**1. THE ENGINEERING AND PRACTICE ACT** 

THIS IS THE LEGISLATIVE ACT THAT WE RECENTLY LOBBIED FOR IN THE 2019 SESSION

OUR BOARD SHOULD HAVE LANGUAGE CHANGES TO OUR ACT IN PLACE AND REVIEWED ABOUT 4 MONTHS PRIOR TO BILL SPONSORSHIP.

**2.** THE STATE ADMINISTRATIVE CODE

OCCUPATIONAL AND PROFESSIONAL LICENSING CHAPTER 39 ENGINEERING AND SURVEYING PRACTITIONERS.

TITLE 12, CHAPTER 8, PART 2, NEW MEXICO ADMINISTRATIVE CODE

MINIMUM STANDARDS FOR SURVEYING IN NEW MEXICO

3. POLICIES ADOPTED BY OUR BOARD

SHOULD BE REVIEWED FOR INCONSISTENCIES AFTER RULE CHANGES.

**EXAMPLE: ADVISORY OPINIONS** 

NOTE:

OUR BOARD MAY BE REQUESTED TO COORDINATE LANGUAGE OR OTHER CHANGES TO STATUTES, SUCH AS CH. 47 NMSA, PROPERTY LAW AND THE SUBDIVISION ACT. CHANGES CAN ONLY OCCUR BY LEGISLATIVE SESSION DEBATE, TESTIMONY AND ENACTMENT (GOVERNOR SIGNS BILL)

CHANGES REQUIRE A "RULES HEARING" WHICH MUST BE ADVERTISED, SCHEDULED AND HEARD WITH WRITTEN OR ORAL TESTIMONY FROM PUBLIC

CHANGES REQUIRE AN AGENDA ITEM AT A PUBLIC COMMITTEE HEARING WITH AN INITIAL DRAFT OF THE CHANGES AND A RESOLUTION TO ADOPT OR MODIFY AN EXISTING POLICY

#### IMPACTS ON NEW MEXICO LAWS AND CONVENTIONS (AS THEY ARE REFERENCED IN VARIOUS STATUTES)

## PRESENTER'S RECOMMENDATIONS:



- NCEES PARTICIPANTS SHOULD INQUIRE AND MONITOR WHAT OTHER STATES ARE FORMATTING FOR LEGISLATIVE LANGUAGE.
- THIS BOARD SHOULD BEGIN INQUIRIES FROM NM LEGISLATIVE ANALYSISTS AND LEADERSHIP ABOUT THE STRUCTURE AND RIPPLE-EFFECT OF NEW LANGUAGE IN OTHER NMSA SECTIONS (SUCH AS PROPERTY LAW).
- SOMEONE SHOULD RECOMMEND THE ADOPTION OF NSPS LANGUAGE *OR* – THE PUBLICATION OF A "BLUE BOOK" OR SIMILAR DESCRIPTIVE STATE PLANE HANDBOOK WHICH COULD BE ADOPTED BY THE BOARD, AND THEN REFERRED TO IN THE LEGISLATIVE LANGUAGE CHANGES.
- REMEMBER, THE "OLD" NM STATE PLANE SYSTEM DOESN'T JUST "GO AWAY". THE VALUES WE NOW USE WILL GRADUALLY BECOME A REFERENCE THAT IS STILL VALID FOR DATA PUBLISHED ON CURRENT PLATS, MAPS, CONTROL SHEETS, ETC.
- RECONSIDER DEFINING AND REQUIRING METADATA IN THE NMAC AS AN INCLUDED RESPONSIBILITY PARTICULARLY FOR DIGITAL GEOSPATIAL DATA WHERE A SEAL AND SIGNATURE MAY NOT BE PRACTICAL.

## **TOPIC: THE FUTURE OF SURVEYING**

- YOUR BOARD APPRECIATES THE OPPORTUNITY TO FOR THE AUDIENCE TO PARTICIPATE IN OUR RETREAT !
- ANY ADVICE OR THOUGHTS SHOULD BE DIRECTED TO OUR STAFF:

PERRY M. VALDEZ, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR Perry.Valdez@state.nm.us

# THANK YOU !

SLIDESHOW ORGANIZATION:

CLIFF A. SPIROCK, NMPLS 4972, BOARD CHAIR 2019

SPECIAL THANKS TO WM. STONE AND MICHAEL DENNIS, NOAA.